**NO COPY OF THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY BE MADE PRIOR TO 5-31-2021

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

***** .

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

18-cr-33-01-JL August 27, 2020

V.

1:44 p.m.

SERGIO MARTINEZ

*

TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOSEPH N. LAPLANTE

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: Seth R. Aframe, AUSA

Georgiana Konesky, AUSA U.S. Attorney's Office

For the Defendant: Robert L. Sheketoff, Esq.

Sheketoff Law Offices

William Keefe, Esq.

Law Office of William Keefe

Probation: Sean Buckley

Court Reporter:
Susan M. Bateman, RPR, CRR

Official Court Reporter

United States District Court

55 Pleasant Street Concord, NH 03301 (603) 225-1453

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE CLERK: The Court has before it for consideration this afternoon a sentencing in criminal case 3 4 18-cr-33-01-JL, United States of America versus Sergio Martinez. 5 I could let you know who we have participating in 6 7 the conference today. 8 For Mr. Martinez we have Attorneys Robert Sheketoff and William Keefe. 9 10 For the United States Attorney's Office we have 11 Attorney Georgie Konesky and Seth Aframe. 12 U.S. probation officer, Sean Buckley, and then we 13 have some observers as well. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 All right. Just give me one moment, counsel. Just 16 a second. 17 (Pause) 18 All right. We are here convened on a video 19 conference platform because of the public health emergency 20 caused by the coronavirus pandemic. 21 My understanding, Mr. Sheketoff, is that you're 22 comfortable proceeding that way today? 23 MR. SHEKETOFF: I am, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 There's a couple of findings necessary anyway so

1 I'll go through those just to make sure that we're conducting a lawful proceeding. 2 Well, first things first. It's very important, Mr. 3 4 Martinez, that you're able to communicate with Mr. Sheketoff 5 and Mr. Keefe. If you need to do that, we can arrange a breakout room for the three of you to speak together privately 6 7 outside of our hearing and under the protection of the attorney-client privilege anytime you want. 8 9 Do you understand that? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. So just -- and I know your 12 counsel are aware of that, but all you need to do is get my 13 attention and we'll make that happen if need be. 14 The Chief Judge here and the court in general have 15 issued some administrative rulings regarding the conduct of 16 court operations during the coronavirus pandemic, and they 17 contain some factual findings and some legal conclusions. 18 They are administrative order numbers 20-7, 20-8, 20-11, 19 20-13, 20-25, 20-26, 20-27 and 20-28. I want to make 20 reference to each of those orders now and incorporate them by 21 reference and adopt their findings and conclusions regarding 22 the health and safety of in-person hearings in a public 23 courtroom.

Mr. Sheketoff, is it your opinion that further delaying this proceeding would jeopardize the interests of

24

25

```
1
    justice?
               MR. SHEKETOFF: Yes.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Can you just tell me why?
 4
               MR. SHEKETOFF: He's been held in a county jail for
 5
    a significant period of time, your Honor, in large part
    because of COVID-19.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: Yeah.
               MR. SHEKETOFF: And I believe it's in his best
 8
    interests to move forward to be sentenced and to be designated
 9
    by the Bureau of Prisons and be in an institution that he has
10
11
    a chance to adjust to that's a federal institution.
12
                THE COURT: Understood.
13
               Any objection, Ms. Konesky?
14
               MS. KONESKY: No, your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: All right. All right then.
16
               Well, the Court finds that it would endanger public
17
    health and safety to conduct this hearing with the parties
18
    physically present in a public courtroom, and the Court
19
    further finds that further delay of this proceeding would
20
    jeopardize the interests of justice for the reasons stated by
21
    defense counsel. So we'll proceed by this video conference
22
    platform.
23
                I should note for the record that this is a public
    proceeding. It's been posted to the public, and I do believe
24
25
    we have some observers from the public who have linked -- or
```

```
1
    who are participating as spectators through the video
 2
    conference platform. And if we have any other requests during
    the hearing, we'll certainly provide that access because it's
 3
 4
    important that the public have access to this, whether it be
 5
    friends and supporters and family of Mr. Martinez or the media
    or interested members of the public.
 6
 7
               All right. Now, this is somewhat of a unique
    proceeding because it was a binding plea agreement under
 8
    Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(C) and it occurred
 9
10
    during a jury trial, I think it was day four or five if I
11
    remember correctly. We were well into the jury trial when the
12
    parties reached an agreement, a binding plea agreement.
13
               It doesn't change though, Mr. Martinez, that my job
    -- the law requires that I determine what the U.S. sentencing
14
15
    quidelines recommend for your sentence. As part of that
16
    process, to figure that out, I use this presentence report
17
    that I'm holding up right now. Do you see that?
18
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
               THE COURT: I assume you've seen this before,
20
    you've read it with your lawyer?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: All right. Do you have any questions
23
    about it at all?
24
               THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: Okay. If that changes, you can ask me
```

```
questions anytime you want. Of course you can consult with
 1
 2
    your counsel anytime you want privately if you would like.
               All right. I've been through it. I've read it.
 3
 4
    As far as I can tell based on what I've read in the file,
 5
    neither side has any objections to it that they want to point
 6
    out.
 7
               Am I right about that, Mr. Sheketoff?
               MR. SHEKETOFF: From the defense, that's correct.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: And the prosecution?
10
               MS. KONESKY: Correct, your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: All right.
12
                It appears then that the guideline sentencing range
13
    in this case is life imprisonment followed by 1 to 3 years of
14
    supervised release on certain counts, 2 to 5 years on certain
15
    other counts, a fine of between $50,000 and $5,271,276, and a
16
    $200 statutory assessment. That's $100 per count of
17
    conviction.
18
               Any disagreement with that guideline sentencing --
    not accepting the range, but that that is the range?
19
20
               Mr. Sheketoff?
21
               MR. SHEKETOFF:
                                No.
22
               THE COURT: Ms. Konesky?
23
               MS. KONESKY: No. That's correct, your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: All right.
25
                The Court therefore adopts the presentence
```

investigation report in full without exception. It will be placed in the record under seal should either party want access to it in the future. All right. So it's sealed.

All right. So this is a binding plea to a very severe sentence. I'm happy to listen to anything counsel wants to tell me.

Who's speaking for the prosecution on the recommendation?

MS. KONESKY: I'll speak, your Honor.

THE COURT: Please.

MS. KONESKY: Thank you, your Honor.

This Court is very familiar with this case, and so I won't sort of repeat the facts that I know your Honor is familiar with and which have been laid out in the presentence report except to say that this is a very serious crime and Mr. Martinez was the leader of an extremely organized, extremely profitable, extremely dangerous drug trafficking organization for many years.

The Court heard evidence at trial about the substantial quantities of fentanyl that this group put on the street. In Mr. Martinez's own words, that he was distributing 50 and 20, 30 kilos a month and the evidence of daily distribution of up to a kilo a day on the streets. Evidence of New Hampshire license plates lining the streets of Lawrence waiting to be served by Mr. Martinez's workers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Court heard evidence of hundreds of thousands of dollars being laundered and sent to the Dominican Republic as profits of Mr. Martinez's business. It heard evidence of the many, many people in Lawrence who worked for him. Ιt heard evidence of violence used by Mr. Martinez's distributors, robberies of dealers and of gang members working for him. This is a crime that has touched all parts of New England and the state of New Hampshire. Observing the hearing today is the mother of someone who used Mr. Martinez's drugs and who died of a drug overdose, and that just brings to the forefront why this is an incredibly serious crime. For that reason, your Honor, and I would be happy to go into more detail if it's necessary but, you know, we have agreed on, as the Court knows, a sentence of 45 years, forfeitures, and a money judgment as well, but I'm happy to address any other issues if the Court would like to hear more. THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. Mr. Sheketoff. MR. SHEKETOFF: So this is a binding agreement, your Honor. I'm honor bound to not suggest anything else is appropriate. Therefore, there's not much I can say. THE COURT: Understood. All right. It actually requires a variance, a downward

1 variance and, you know, obviously I don't have any difficulty 2 imposing it. Mr. Martinez, you don't have to speak today. You 3 4 don't have to say a word, and I wouldn't hold it against you 5 if you didn't speak or I can't imagine holding it against you if you wanted to say something. So if there's something you 6 7 want to say, this is your opportunity. THE DEFENDANT: Well, I quess, your Honor, just to 8 9 apologize, apologize to the prosecutor, apologize to the 10 officer involved in this case, to the victim. I don't know 11 who it is, if it's an aunt or a cousin, I apologize to every 12 one of them and say that I'm sorry for all the trouble that I 13 have caused in this investigation. 14 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 15 Is there anyone else who's present on All right. 16 the video conference platform in the proceeding who wants to 17 be heard today who would like me to listen to them? 18 I don't see anybody making themselves known. Okay. 19 All right then. 20 There's very little to say here. The Court deems 21 the stipulation reasonable and accepts the agreement and will

impose the joint recommendation.

22

23

24

25

I will explain -- it requires a variance, and that requires an explanation for the Court's rationale. I don't mean to belabor this putting anybody -- make it more trying

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for anybody than it needs to be, but what I've attempted to do here is -- by listening to counsel and their reasons for their stipulation and what I observed at the jury trial, I've tried to fashion a sentence here, and it was primarily fashioned by counsel of course, that's not more severe than necessary, but it is sufficient to meet the following purposes: First, to reflect the seriousness of the offense,

promoting respect for the law, and public protection.

Second, to afford adequate deterrence.

Third, to protect the public.

Fourth, to provide the defendant with needed correctional treatment and related treatment in the most effective manner.

The Court has considered the probation officer's comments and the comments of counsel, and these factors, whether viewed separately or collectively, viewed in the context of the offense conduct, the defendant's history, the need for deterrence, respect for the law, and public protection make a variance from the guideline sentencing range appropriate in this case.

The Court's variance and its sentence are based solely on the parties' binding plea agreement under Rule 11(c)(1)(C) which the Court deems reasonable and not on any calculation or application of the U.S. sentencing quidelines.

The Court has considered the following statutory

factors set forth at Section 3553(a) of Title 18 in imposing 1 2 this sentence: 3 First, the nature and circumstances of the offense. 4 Second, the history and characteristics of the defendant. 5 Third, the kinds of sentences statutorily 6 7 available. Fourth, both the kinds of sentences and the 8 advisory sentencing range established by the U.S. sentencing 9 10 quidelines. 11 Fifth, the policy statements issued by the U.S. 12 sentencing commission under the applicable guidelines which 13 the Court has reviewed and considered. 14 Sixth, the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing 15 disparities in situations involving similar conduct and 16 similar criminal records. 17 And finally, seventh, the need to provide restitution. 18 19 The fact that the sentence involved the calculation 20 of and then a variance from the applicable guideline 21 sentencing range ensures that the consideration of each factor 22 which the Court has undertaken independently as well is 23 reflected by the sentence. 24 The Court notes that while it undertook these U.S. 25 sentencing quideline calculations as a preliminary matter as

```
1
    required by applicable circuit law, the sentence imposed was
 2
    based not on any calculation or application of the U.S.
    sentencing quidelines but rather on the Court's adoption of
 3
 4
    the parties' binding plea agreement under Rule 11(c)(1)(C)
 5
    which again the Court deems reasonable.
                The sentence, including the variance from the
 6
 7
    guideline sentencing range, is sufficient, but not more severe
    than necessary to facilitate and serve the statutory and
 8
 9
    traditional functions of sentencing.
10
                That's the rationale. Any questions from the
11
    prosecution?
12
               MS. KONESKY: No, your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: Defense?
14
               MR. SHEKETOFF: No, Judge.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Okay. Then the Court will
16
    impose sentence:
17
               Let me ask a couple of questions first.
18
               Mr. Sheketoff, I don't know if the family plans
19
    visitation or anything of that nature, but if so, I could
20
    recommend a certain location if you like.
21
               MR. SHEKETOFF: So because he does have young
22
    children -- I just don't know where the Bureau is going to
23
    designate him, but if you could recommend either Fort Devens,
24
    which is in Massachusetts, the only institution in
25
    Massachusetts, I would appreciate it, your Honor, but I don't
```

```
1
    know if that's realistic.
 2
                THE COURT: Well, so in other words the family will
 3
    remain in the New England area?
 4
               MR. SHEKETOFF: Yes.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. All right.
               The other thing is this.
 6
 7
               Mr. Martinez, I've got to show you something here.
    I have a copy of this acknowledgment and it appears to have
 8
    your signature on it. It's attached to the probation
 9
    officer's recommended conditions of supervised release, the
10
11
    conditions you'll live under when you're released from prison.
12
                This tells me that you have received those, you've
13
    read them, and you understand them. Is that true?
14
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
15
                THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sheketoff, do you know
16
    of any reason why your client should object to any of these
17
    conditions of supervised release?
18
               MR. SHEKETOFF: No. It's so far in the future,
19
    your Honor, that I think if there was a reason, we would raise
20
    it then, but it won't be with me.
21
                THE COURT: Understood, counsel.
22
                           That acknowledgment will be docketed at
                Okay then.
    docket number 1059.
23
24
                Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, and
25
    having considered the sentencing factors enumerated at Section
```

3553(a) of Title 18, it's the judgment of the Court that the defendant, Sergio Martinez, is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 540 months. That term consists of a term of 240 months on Count 3sss and a term of 540 months on Count 13sss to be served concurrently.

Upon release from imprisonment the defendant shall be placed on supervised release for a term of three years.

The term consists of three years on Counts 3sss and 13sss which terms run concurrently.

Within 72 hours of release from the Bureau of Prisons the defendant shall report in person to the district to which he's released.

The Court recommends that the defendant's incarceration be conducted at the closest practical facility to the district of Massachusetts in order to facilitate visitation by the victim's family which the Court thinks will facilitate the defendant's rehabilitation as well as his good behavior while incarcerated.

While under supervision the defendant must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this Court and must comply with the mandatory and proposed special conditions attached to the presentence report and attached to the defendant's acknowledgment docketed again at number 1059 on the docket.

```
The defendant shall pay to the United States a
 1
    special assessment of $200. It's due in full immediately.
 2
               Do the parties have a joint recommendation as to a
 3
 4
    fine or is that not part of the agreement?
               MS. KONESKY: There's nothing in the agreement with
    respect to a fine, your Honor, but there is, and I would
 6
 7
    request that the Court include this in the judgment, a money
    judgment of $2 million and various forfeitures that are
 8
 9
    enumerated in the final order of forfeiture which is pending.
10
               THE COURT: Yeah, I was going to just grant the
11
    motion for the final order of forfeiture which I think
12
    incorporates all of that. That was my plan.
13
               Any objection to that?
14
               MR. SHEKETOFF: No, your Honor.
15
               I would ask that you not impose a fine.
16
    significant money judgment and he's going to be incarcerated
17
    for a very long period of time.
18
               THE COURT: Okay. So the agreement doesn't cover a
19
    fine? All right.
20
               MR. SHEKETOFF: It does not.
21
               THE COURT: All right. Well, the Court's not going
22
    to impose a fine in this case because of the size of the --
23
    the very substantial size of the money judgment.
24
               MR. AFRAME: Can I just interject, Judge?
25
               I agree with the fine, no fine.
```

```
1
                It is important though I think that the Court say
 2
    as part of the sentence the things that are being forfeited
    and not just refer to the motion. It's technical, but I think
 3
 4
    that's actually required.
                THE COURT: I'm not sure if you're right, but I
    don't have any problem doing that and I'm happy to do it.
 6
 7
    Just give me a moment.
               All right. The preliminary order of forfeiture is
 8
    final. It shall be made part of the sentence in this case.
 9
                The Court enters a personal money judgment in the
10
11
    amount of $2 million and the title to 22 Morton Street.
12
               That's in the city of Lawrence?
13
               MS. KONESKY: Yes, your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: All right.
15
               As well as 31 Manchester Street in the city of
16
    Lawrence, Massachusetts, 82 Water Street in the city of
17
    Lawrence, Massachusetts, again 22 Morton Street in the city of
18
    Lawrence, and all funds in Banco Popular Dominicano account
19
    numbers 794605782 and 795430263.
20
               All right. Those are the terms of the sentence.
21
               Are there any questions from the prosecution?
22
               MS. KONESKY: No, your Honor. Thank you.
23
               THE COURT: Defense counsel?
24
               MR. SHEKETOFF: No, your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: All right then.
```

```
1
                Mr. Martinez, you have the right to appeal this
    sentence to the U.S. Court of Appeals. If you take an appeal,
2
 3
    you must file it within 14 days of the entry of judgment.
 4
                All right then. Is there anything further for the
    Court?
 5
                MS. KONESKY: No. Thank you, your Honor.
 6
7
                THE COURT: All right.
                                No, your Honor.
 8
                MR. SHEKETOFF:
                THE COURT: The defendant is remanded to the
 9
10
    custody of the United States Marshal.
                I hope -- everybody here, I hope all your families
11
12
    are healthy and intact and virus-free and that they remain
13
    that way.
14
                We're adjourned.
15
                (Conclusion of hearing at 2:08 p.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

C E R T I F I C A T EI, Susan M. Bateman, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcription of the within proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill, ability and belief. Submitted: 3-1-21 /s/ Susan M. Bateman SUSAN M. BATEMAN, RPR, CRR